

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,737	03/23/2004	Noriya Hayashi	080542-0165	4615
22428	7590 10/19/2005		EXAM	INER
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500			DIXON, MI	ERRICK L
	3000 K STREET NW			PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			1774	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/807,737	HAYASHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Merrick Dixon	1774			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	DIVID OFT TO EVOIDE AN	ACNITI ((C) OD TI IIDTY (CO) DAYO			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on el	lection of 7-26-05.	•			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	s action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-42</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 43-48</u> is/are rejected.	•	•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d/	·			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	a/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
⁹ 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum					
 Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur 	•	received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.			
2 2 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		11.1			
	(γ	Moh			
America (II)	. ,	MERRICK DIXON			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		PRIMARY EXAMINER Sum gBOUP: 1300 3)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-15-04. 	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/807,737 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the legal word, "comprises". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

- 2. Applicant election of claims 1-6 and 43-48, with traverse is acknowledged. The traverse is that there is no undue burden to the office and under Ochai, a rejoiner will be requested. To this the examiner reminds applicants that Ochai is requested after a notice of allowability is indicted. Also, the extra examination would be burdensome as shown by their separated required searches.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 43-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dexheimer (US 6706844 B2) in view of Uchida(US 5545697). The cited primary reference teaches the basic claimed invention including a prepreg comprising matrix resin including bifunctional isocynate, polyol and a chain extender of similar molar ratios col 2, lines 15-27; col 3, lines 26-43; col 4, lines 3-12; col 4, lines 34-50; col 10, lines 26-36. The reference fails to expressly teach its resulting prepreg used for fiber reinforced plastic. The secondary reference, however, teaches that similar matrix material as the primary reference is notoriously well known for its useage in fiber reinforced plastic material- col 6, lines 1-5; col 19, lines 58-64. It would have been obvios to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to combine the teachings of the secondary reference and form such claimed articles from the primary

Application/Control Number: 10/807,737 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

reference's product, in the absence of unexpected results, motivated by the desire to reinforced plastic material – col 19, lines 54-57. concerning claims 2-5, the primary reference teaches the claimed molecular weights and related ratios- see above; also, see entire reference. Concerning claims 43-48, the secondary reference teaches the aspect of forming fiber reinforced plastic article as also discussed above.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Uchida et al (US 5449737) and Audenaert et al (US 63200661 B1) are cited of interest for their respective teachings.

6

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 571-273-8300.

Information about **the status of an application** may be obtained from the Patent Information Retrieval system (**Private PAIR**).

Art Unit: 1774

Status inquires for **published applications** may be retrieved from either **Private PAIR** or **Public PAIR**. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at **866-217-9197**.

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays to Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern time. The examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Rena Dye, can be reached at 571-272-3186.

Merrick Dixon

Primary Examiner

Group 1700